

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/871,217	C	05/31/2001	Hanniel Schmidt	10191/1821	10191/1821 1693	
26646	7590	07/25/2003				
KENYON		ON	EXAMINER			
ONE BROA NEW YOR		004		MCCALL, ERIC SCOTT		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2855		
				DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			De
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/871,217	SCHMIDT, HANNIE	L
nance, y neuen	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric S. McCall	2855	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the same of the s	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· · · · —	<del></del>	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) allowed: <u>(NONE)</u>.
Claim(s) objected to: <u>(NONE)</u>.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: (NONE).

Claim(s) rejected: 1-9.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

Eric S. McCall
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2855

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/871,217





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Applicant's proposed amendment to claim 1 would, if entered, drastically change the scope of the claim and thus it would raise a new issue which would require further consideration and search.